

## **Bases for “the best work presented by a non-doctor at the GEF annual congress” award.**

The Spanish Society for Structural Integrity – Spanish Fracture Group (SEIE-GEF) annually awards the best paper presented by a non-doctoral researcher at the annual GEF congress. The bases that govern the process of presentation, selection and award are the following:

1. Requirements to access the award:
  - 1.1. The candidate must be a non-doctor researcher.
  - 1.2. The candidate must be the first signer of the article that opts for the award.
  - 1.3. The article must be published in the proceedings of the annual GEF congress.
  - 1.4. When submitting the article, the candidate must apply to be considered for the award.
  - 1.5. The oral presentation of the article must be done by the candidate.
  - 1.6. The candidate must not have been awarded this same award in previous editions.
  
2. Award jury committee:
  - 2.1. As a general rule, it will be constituted by five members.
  - 2.2. Preferably, none of them should be signatories of the articles submitted for the award. If this requirement cannot be met, the members of the committee may evaluate other candidates, although they will refrain from evaluating all those candidates that imply a conflict of interest.
  - 2.3. The members will be appointed by the Directive board of SEIE-GEF or by whom it delegates.
  
3. Evaluation process:
  - 3.1. The committee will have all the articles that are eligible for the award sufficiently in advance of the date of the congress.
  - 3.2. It will meet during the congress as many times as it deems appropriate to analyze the papers presented.
  - 3.3. The committee must have decided on the awardee before the end of the congress.
  
4. Awards:
  - 4.1. During the gala dinner or the closing ceremony, the name of the winner will be made public and a diploma will be awarded.
  - 4.2. The economic endowment for the winner will be 200 €.
  - 4.3. It will be the award jury committee decision to award up to a maximum of two *Accesit* based on the quality of the works presented. No economic endowment is considered in this case.